arXiv:1511.05442v1 [math.GR] 17 Nov 2015

THE RANK OF VARIANTS OF NILPOTENT PSEUDOVARIETIES

J. ALMEIDA AND M.H. SHAHZAMANIAN

Abstract. We investigate the rank of classes defined by several of the variants of nilpotent semigroups in the sense of Mal'cev. For several of them, we even give finite basis of pseudoidentities.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
3. The pseudovariety MN 5
4. The pseudovariety PE 6
5. The pseudovariety NDF_{12} 10
6. The pseudovariety NT 18
7. The pseudovarieties TM and EUNNG 20
8. Comparison of the above pseudovarieties 21
References 25

1. Introduction

Mal'cev [15] and independently Neumann and Taylor [16] have shown that nilpotent groups can be defined by semigroup identities (that is, without using inverses). This leads to the notion of a nilpotent semigroup (in the sense of Mal'cev).

For a semigroup S with elements $x, y, z_1, z_2, \ldots$ one recursively defines two sequences

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_n(x, y, z_1, \ldots, z_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_n = \rho_n(x, y, z_1, \ldots, z_n)$$

by

$$\lambda_0 = x, \quad \rho_0 = y$$

and

$$\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n z_{n+1} \rho_n, \quad \rho_{n+1} = \rho_n z_{n+1} \lambda_n.$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20M07, 20M35.
Keywords and phrases: profinite topologies, monoids, block groups, pseudovarieties, nilpotent semigroups in the sense of Mal'cev.

A semigroup is said to be *nilpotent* (MN, in the sense of Mal'cev [15]) if there exists a positive integer n such that

$$\lambda_n(a, b, c_1, \ldots, c_n) = \rho_n(a, b, c_1, \ldots, c_n)$$

for all a, b in S and $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ in S^1. The smallest such n is called the *nilpotency class* of S. Clearly, null semigroups are nilpotent in the sense of Mal'cev. Recall that a semigroup S is said to be *Neumann-Taylor* [16] (NT) if, for some $n \geq 2$,

$$\lambda_n(a, b, 1, c_2, \ldots, c_n) = \rho_n(a, b, 1, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$$

for all $a, b \in S$ and $c_2, \ldots, c_n$ in S^1. A semigroup S is said to be *positively Engel* (PE) if, for some $n \geq 2$,

$$\lambda_n(a, b, 1, 1, c, c^2, \ldots, c^{n-2}) = \rho_n(a, b, 1, 1, c, c^2, \ldots, c^{n-2})$$

for all a, b in S and $c \in S^1$, while S is said to be *Thue-Morse* (TM) if for some n,

$$\lambda_n(a, b, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) = \rho_n(a, b, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$$

for all $a, b \in S$.

A pseudovariety of semigroups is a class of finite semigroups closed under taking subsemigroups, homomorphic images and finite direct products. The finite nilpotent semigroups, the finite Neumann-Taylor semigroups, the finite positively Engel semigroups and the finite Thue-Morse semigroups, separately constitute pseudovarieties. These are examples of ultimate equational definitions of pseudovarieties in the sense of Eilenberg and Schützenberger [7]. We denote them respectively by MN, NT, PE and TM.

In this paper, we investigate the rank of these pseudovarieties. We show that the pseudovariety NT has infinite rank and, therefore, it is non-finitely based.

Finite aperiodic minimal non-nilpotent semigroups can be divided in four classes [14]. The pseudovariety $\mathsf{BG_{nil}}$ does not contain any semigroup in the class (1). The pseudovariety PE does not contain any semigroup in the classes (1) and (2) and the pseudovariety MN contains semigroups in none of them. We introduce the pseudovariety $\mathsf{NDF_{12}}$. A finite semigroup S is in $\mathsf{NDF_{12}}$ if $S \in \mathsf{BG_{nil}}$, $F_7 \not< S$ and $F_{12} \not< S$. It is strictly contained in the pseudovariety PE and strictly includes the pseudovariety NT. On the other hand, $S \in \mathsf{PE}$ if $S \in \mathsf{BG_{nil}}$ and $F_7 \not< S$. We prove that F_7 is $\times$-prime. The pseudovariety $\mathsf{NDF_{12}}$ does not contain any semigroup in the classes (1), (2) and (3). We calculate the ranks of the pseudovarieties MN, $\mathsf{NDF_{12}}$, PE, TM and EUNNG. They are respectively $4, 3, 2, 2$, and 2.

We compare these pseudovarieties. The following diagrams represent the strict inclusion relationships and equalities between these pseudovarieties.



Higgins and Margolis showed that $\langle \mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{Inv} \rangle \subset \mathsf{A} \cap \langle \mathsf{Inv} \rangle$ [10]. At the end of the paper, we show that the intersection of these pseudovarieties with A, the pseudovariety of aperiodic semigroups, all lie between the pseudovarieties $\langle \mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{Inv} \rangle$ and $\mathsf{A} \cap \langle \mathsf{Inv} \rangle$.

2. Preliminaries

For standard notation and terminology relating to finite semigroups, refer to [6]. A completely 0-simple finite semigroup S is isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$, where G is a maximal subgroup of S, P is the $m \times n$ sandwich matrix with entries in G^θ and n and m are positive integers. The nonzero elements of S are denoted $(g; i, j)$, where $g \in G$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$; the zero element is denoted θ. The (j, i)-entry of P is denoted p_{ji}. The set of nonzero elements is denoted $\mathcal{M}(G, n, m; P)$. If all elements of P are nonzero then $\mathcal{M}(G, n, m; P)$ is a subsemigroup and every completely simple finite semigroup is of this form. If $P = I_n$, the $n \times n$ identity matrix, then S is an inverse semigroup. Jespers and Okninski proved that a completely 0-simple semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ is nilpotent if and only if $n = m$, $P = I_n$ and G is a nilpotent group [11, Lemma 2.1].

The next lemma is a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite semigroup not to be nilpotent [13].

Lemma 2.1. *A finite semigroup S is not nilpotent if and only if there exist a positive integer m, distinct elements $x, y \in S$ and elements $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m \in S^1$ such that $x = \lambda_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m)$, $y = \rho_m(x, y, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m)$.*

Assume that a finite semigroup S has a proper ideal $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, n; I_n)$ and $n > 1$. The action Γ on the $\mathcal{R}$-classes of M in [14] is used. In this paper, we consider the dual definition of the action Γ. We define the action Γ of S on the $\mathcal{L}$-classes of M, that is a representation (a semigroup homomorphism) $\Gamma : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the full transformation semigroup on the set

$\{1,\dots,n\}\cup\{\theta\}$. The definition is as follows, for $1\le j\le n$ and $s\in S$,

$$\Gamma(s)(j)=\begin{cases} j' & \text{if } (g;i,j)s=(g';i,j') \text{ for some } g,g'\in G,\ 1\le i\le n\\ \theta & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

and $\Gamma(s)(\theta)=\theta$. The representation Γ is called the *$\mathcal{L}$-minimal non-nilpotent representation* of S and $\Gamma(S)$ the *$\mathcal{L}$-minimal non-nilpotent image* of S.

For every $s\in S$, $\Gamma(s)$ can be written as a product of orbits which are cycles of the form $(j_1,j_2,\dots,j_k)$ or sequences of the form $(j_1,j_2,\dots,j_k,\theta)$, where $1\le j_1,\dots,j_k\le n$. The latter orbit means that $\Gamma(s)(j_i)=j_{i+1}$ for $1\le i\le k-1$, $\Gamma(s)(j_k)=\theta$, $\Gamma(s)(\theta)=\theta$ and there does not exist $1\le r\le n$ such that $\Gamma(s)(r)=j_1$. Orbits of the form (j) with $j\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ are written explicitly in the decomposition of $\Gamma(s)$. By convention, we omit orbits of the form (j,θ) in the decomposition of $\Gamma(s)$ (this is the reason for writing orbits of length one). If $\Gamma(s)(j)=\theta$ for every $1\le j\le n$, then we simply denote $\Gamma(s)$ by $\overline{\theta}$.

If the orbit ε appears in the expression of $\Gamma(s)$ as a product of disjoint orbits, then we denote this by $\varepsilon\subseteq\Gamma(s)$. If $\Gamma(s)(j_{1,1})=j_{1,2},\Gamma(s)(j_{1,2})=j_{1,3},\dots,\Gamma(s)(j_{1,p_1-1})=j_{1,p_1},\dots,\Gamma(s)(j_{q,1})=j_{q,2},\dots,\Gamma(s)(j_{q,p_q-1})=j_{q,p_q}$, then we write

$$[j_{1,1},j_{1,2},\dots,j_{1,p_1};\dots;j_{q,1},j_{q,2},\dots,j_{q,p_q}]\sqsubseteq\Gamma(s).$$

Note that, if $g\in G$ and $1\le n_1,n_2\le n$ with $n_1\ne n_2$ then

$$\Gamma((g;n_1,n_2))=(n_1,n_2,\theta) \text{ and } \Gamma((g;n_1,n_1))=(n_1).$$

Therefore, if the group G is trivial, then the elements of M are transformations.

Let T be a semigroup with a zero θ_T and let M be a regular Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\},n,n;I_n)$. Let Δ be a representation of T in the full transformation semigroup on the set $\{1,\dots,n\}\cup\{\theta\}$ such that for every $t\in T$, $\Delta(t)(\theta)=\theta$, $\Delta^{-1}(\overline{\theta})=\{\theta_T\}$, and $\Delta(t)$ restricted to $\{1,\dots,n\}\setminus\Delta(t)^{-1}(\theta)$ is injective. The semigroup $S=M\cup^{\Delta}T$ is the θ-disjoint union of M and T (that is the disjoint union with the zeros identified). The multiplication is such that T and M are subsemigroups,

$$(1;i,j)\,t=\begin{cases}(1;i,\Delta(t)(j)) & \text{if } \Delta(t)(j)\ne\theta\\ \theta & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

and

$$t(1;i,j)=\begin{cases}(1;i',j) & \text{if } \Delta(t)(i')=i\\ \theta & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

For more details see [14].

Let V be a pseudovariety of finite semigroups. A pro-V semigroup is a compact semigroup that is residually in V. In case V consists of all finite semigroups, we call them profinite semigroups. We denote by $\overline{\Omega}_A\mathsf{V}$ the free pro-V semigroup on the set A and by $\Omega_A\mathsf{V}$ the free semigroup in the (Birkhoff) variety generated by V. Such free objects are characterized by

appropriate universal properties. For instance, $\overline{\Omega}_A\mathsf{V}$ comes endowed with a mapping $\iota\colon A \to \overline{\Omega}_A\mathsf{V}$ such that, for every mapping $\phi\colon A \to S$ into a pro-V semigroup S, there exists a unique continuous homomorphism $\widehat{\phi}\colon \overline{\Omega}_A\mathsf{V} \to S$ such that $\widehat{\phi} \circ \iota = \phi$. For more details on this topic we refer the reader to [1].

For a set Σ of V-pseudoidentities, we denote by $[\![\Sigma]\!]_\mathsf{V}$ (or simply $[\![\Sigma]\!]$ if V is understood from the context) the class of all $S \in \mathsf{V}$ that satisfy all pseudoidentities from Σ. Reiterman [17] proved that a subclass V of a pseudovariety W is a pseudovariety if and only if V is of the form $[\![\Sigma]\!]_\mathsf{W}$ for some set Σ of W-pseudoidentities. For the pseudovarieties $\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}}$, of all finite nilpotent groups, and BG, of all finite block groups, that is, finite semigroups in which each element has at most one inverse, we have

$$\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{nil}} = [\![\phi^\omega(x) = x^\omega, x^\omega y = yx^\omega = y]\!]$$

where ϕ is the continuous endomorphism of the free profinite semigroup on $\{x, y\}$ such that $\phi(x) = x^{\omega-1}y^{\omega-1}xy, \phi(y) = y$ [3, Example 4.15(2)] and

$$\mathsf{BG} = [\![(ef)^\omega = (fe)^\omega]\!]$$

where $e = x^\omega, f = y^\omega$ (see for example [1]).

The collection of all finite block groups whose subgroups are nilpotent is a pseudovariety denoted $\mathsf{BG}_{\mathsf{nil}}$. Recall that a semigroup S divides a semigroup T and we write $S \prec T$ if S is a homomorphic image of a subsemigroup of T. We say that a finite semigroup S is $\times$-prime whenever, for all finite semigroups T_1 and T_2, if $S \prec T_1 \times T_2$, then $S \prec T_1$ or $S \prec T_2$. For example, a semigroup of right or left zero semigroups with 2 elements is $\times$-prime [1, Exercise 9.3.1(a)].

3. The pseudovariety MN

Jespers and Riley [12] called a semigroup S *weakly Mal'cev nilpotent* (WMN) if, for some n,

$$\lambda_n(a, b, c_1, c_2, c_1, c_2, \ldots) = \rho_n(a, b, c_1, c_2, c_1, c_2, \ldots)$$

for all a, b in S and c_1, c_2 in S^1. They proved that a linear semigroup S is MN if and only if S is WMN [12, Corollary 12]. Recall that a linear semigroup is a subsemigroup of a matrix monoid $M_n(K)$ over a field K.

Theorem 3.1. *The following equality holds*

$$\mathsf{MN} = [\![\phi^\omega(x) = \phi^\omega(y)]\!]$$

where ϕ is the continuous endomorphism of the free profinite semigroup on $\{x, y, z, t\}$ such that $\phi(x) = xzytyzx$, $\phi(y) = yzxtxzy$, $\phi(z) = z$, and $\phi(t) = t$.

Proof. Since all finite semigroups are linear, this follows at once from [12, Corollary 12]. □

In particular, Theorem 3.1 yields that the pseudovariety MN is finitely based.

Note that there exists a finite semigroup S such that for all $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in S$, $\langle s_1, s_2, s_3\rangle \in \mathsf{MN}$, but $S \notin \mathsf{MN}$. An example of such a semigroup is N_1

[13, 10], which is the θ-disjoint union of the completely 0-simple semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\},4,4;I_4)$ with the null semigroup $\{w,v,\theta\}$,

$$N_1 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\},4,4;I_4) \cup^\Gamma \{w,v,\theta\}, \tag{1}$$

where $\Gamma(w) = (2,3,\theta)(1,4,\theta)$ and $\Gamma(v) = (1,3,\theta)(2,4,\theta)$.

We say that the pseudovariety V has finite rank (see for example [1]) if there exists an integer n such that, for every finite semigroup S and $s_1,\ldots,s_n \in S$, if $\langle s_1,\ldots,s_n\rangle \in \mathsf{V}$, then $S \in \mathsf{V}$. The smallest such n is called the *rank* of V. If the pseudovariety V is finitely based, then V has finite rank.

4. The pseudovariety PE

As in [12], we denote by F_7 the semigroup which is the θ-disjoint union of the completely 0-simple semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\},2,2;I_2)$ and the cyclic group $\{1,u\}$ of order 2 with a zero θ:

$$F_7 \;=\; \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\},2,2;I_2) \cup^\Gamma \{1,u,\theta\}, \tag{2}$$

where $\Gamma(u) = (1,2)$ and $\Gamma(1) = (1)(2)$. Note that $F_7 = \langle u,(1;1,1)\rangle$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let n be a positive integer. Consider $2n$ boxes labeled by $2n$ numbers. We want to color them black or white. The first box is colored black and every box with even label is colored white. There exists a pair (p,q) such that p and q are positive integers, $p \mid n$ and for every coloring of the boxes with odd label, the boxes labeled $q, q+p, q+2p,\ldots,$ mod $2n$, are alternatively colored black and white or white and black.*

Proof. Suppose that $2n = 2(2k-1)$ for some positive integer k. The box labeled $2k$ is colored white. Since the first box is colored black, the pair $(n,1)$ is a required pair.

Now, suppose that $2n = 2^m(2k-1)$ for some positive integers m and k such that $1 < m$. Consider the coloring of boxes that satisfy the required conditions. We distinguish two cases as follows:

(1) There exists a positive integer $1 \le i \le n$ such that the boxes labeled i and $i+n$ do not have the same color. Then, the pair (n,i) is a required pair.
(2) The boxes labeled i and $i+n$ have the same color for every positive integer $1 \le i \le n$. Then, there exists a positive integer $1 \le j \le m$ such that the boxes labeled i and $i+\alpha 2^j(2k-1)$, mod $2n$, have the same color for all positive integers $1 \le i \le n, 1 \le \alpha$ and the boxes labeled i' and $i'+2^{j-1}(2k-1)$ do not have the same color for some positive integer $1 \le i' \le n$. Then, $(2^{j-1}(2k-1), i')$ is a required pair. □

We may now establish the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *The semigroup F_7 is $\times$-prime.*

Proof. Suppose that T and V are finite semigroups and $F_7 \prec T \times V$. Then there exists a subsemigroup U of $T \times V$ such that there is an onto homomorphism $\phi : U \to F_7$. Let

$$T \times V = R_1 \supset R_2 \supset \ldots \supset R_h \supset R_{h+1} = \varnothing$$

be a principal series of $T \times V$. Since T and V are finite, there exist elements r_1', r_2 in the semigroup $T \times V$ and integers $1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq h$ that satisfy the following properties:

(1) $\phi(r_1') = (1;1,1)$ and $\phi(r_2) = u$;
(2) $r_1' \in R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1}$ and $r_2 \in R_{i_2} \setminus R_{i_2+1}$;
(3) $\phi^{-1}((1;1,1)) \cap R_{i_1+1} = \varnothing$ and $\phi^{-1}(u) \cap R_{i_2+1} = \varnothing$.

As $(1;1,1)^2 = (1;1,1)$ and by (3), R_{i_1}/R_{i_1+1} is completely 0-simple or completely simple, say $M_1 = \mathcal{M}^0(G_1, n_1, m_1; P_1)$ or $M_1 = \mathcal{M}(G_1, n_1, m_1; P_1)$. Similarly, since $u^3 = u$, R_{i_2}/R_{i_2+1} is completely 0-simple or completely simple, say $M_2 = \mathcal{M}^0(G_2, n_2, m_2; P_2)$ or $M_2 = \mathcal{M}(G_2, n_2, m_2; P_2)$. Then, there exist elements $g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2$ and integers $1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq n_1, 1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq n_2, 1 \leq \beta_1 \leq m_1, 1 \leq \beta_2 \leq m_2$ such that $r_1' = (g_1; \alpha_1, \beta_1)$ and $r_2 = (g_2; \alpha_2, \beta_2)$. Let o_1 and o_2 be the orders of G_1, G_2 and $r_1 = r_1'^{o_1}$. Again, by (3), we have $r_1 = (p^{-1}_{\beta_1,\alpha_1}; \alpha_1, \beta_1)$, $\phi(r_1) = (1;1,1)$, $r_1 \in R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1}$, $r_1^2 = r_1$ and $r_2 = r_2^{o_2+1}$. Suppose that $i_1 < i_2$. It follows that $r_2^2 r_1 \in \phi^{-1}((1;1,1)) \cap R_{i_1+1}$, a contradiction. Thus, we have $i_2 \leq i_1$. Hence, $r_1 r_2, r_2 r_1 \in R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1}$. Now, suppose that $r_1 r_2 r_1 \notin R_{i_1+1}$. Since $r_1 r_2, r_2 r_1 \in R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1}$, there exists an element $g_1' \in G_1$ such that $r_1 r_2 r_1 = (g_1'; \alpha_1, \beta_1)$. If follows that $(r_1 r_2 r_1)^{o_1} = r_1$ and, thus, $\phi^{-1}((1;1,1)) \cap \phi^{-1}(\theta) \neq \varnothing$, a contradiction. Since $r_1 r_2, r_2 r_1 \in R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1}$ and $r_1 r_2 r_1 \in R_{i_1+1}$, we obtain $i_1 \neq i_2$.

The elements r_1 and r_2 are in $T \times V$. Then, there exist elements $t_1, t_2 \in T$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V$ such that $r_1 = (t_1, v_1)$ and $r_2 = (t_2, v_2)$. Let

$$T = T_1 \supset T_2 \supset \ldots \supset T_{h'} \supset T_{h'+1} = \varnothing$$

and

$$V = V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \ldots \supset V_{h''} \supset V_{h''+1} = \varnothing$$

be, respectively, principal series of T and V. Suppose that $t_1 \in T_{j_1} \setminus T_{j_1+1}$, $t_2 \in T_{j_2} \setminus T_{j_2+1}$, $v_1 \in V_{k_1} \setminus V_{k_1+1}$ and $v_2 \in V_{k_2} \setminus V_{k_2+1}$, for some integers $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq h'$ and $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq h''$. Now, as $r_1^2 = r_1$ and $r_2 = r_2^{o_2+1}$, we have $t_1^2 = t_1$, $v_1^2 = v_1$, $t_2 = t_2^{o_2+1}$ and $v_2 = v_2^{o_2+1}$. Then, by [8, Theorem 3], the equalities $R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1} = (T_{j_1} \setminus T_{j_1+1}) \times (V_{k_1} \setminus V_{k_1+1})$ and $R_{i_2} \setminus R_{i_2+1} = (T_{j_2} \setminus T_{j_2+1}) \times (V_{k_2} \setminus V_{k_2+1})$ hold. Now, as $r_1 r_2 \in R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1}$, we obtain $j_2 \leq j_1$ and $k_2 \leq k_1$.

Let $A_1 = T_{j_1} \setminus T_{j_1+1}, A_2 = T_{j_2} \setminus T_{j_2+1}, A_3 = V_{k_1} \setminus V_{k_1+1}, A_4 = V_{k_2} \setminus V_{k_2+1}$. The inequality $i_2 < i_1$ implies that $j_2 < j_1$ or $k_2 < k_1$. Again, as $t_1^2 = t_1$, $v_1^2 = v_1$, $t_2 = t_2^{o_2+1}$ and $v_2 = v_2^{o_2+1}$, the semigroup $A_i \cup \{\theta\}$ is completely 0-simple or A_i is completely simple, say $M_{A_i} = \mathcal{M}^0(G_{A_i}, n_{A_i}, m_{A_i}; P_{A_i})$ or $M_{A_i} = \mathcal{M}(G_{A_i}, n_{A_i}, m_{A_i}; P_{A_i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

The element $r_1r_2r_1$ is in R_{i_1+1} and $R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1} = A_1 \times A_3$. It follows that that $t_1t_2t_1 \notin A_1$ or $v_1v_2v_1 \notin A_3$. By symmetry, we may assume that $t_1t_2t_1 \notin A_1$. There exist elements $(l; a, b) \in M_{A_1}$ and $(l'; a', b') \in M_{A_2}$, such that $t_1 = (l; a, b)$ and $t_2 = (l'; a', b')$. If $j_1 = j_2$, then we must have $A_1 = A_2$. Since $r_1r_2, r_2r_1 \in R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1}$, we obtain $t_1t_2, t_2t_1 \in A_1$ and, thus, $p_{a,b'}, p_{a',b} \neq \theta$. It follows that $t_1t_2t_1$ is in the set A_1, a contradiction. We conclude that $j_1 \neq j_2$.

Since $r_2 = r_2^{o_2+1}$, $u^2 = 1$ and $\phi(r_2) = u$, the integer o_2 is even. Now, as $r_1r_2^ir_1 \in R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1}$, we have $t_1t_2^it_1 \in A_1$, for even $1 \leq i \leq o_2$. We also have $t_1t_2t_1 \notin A_1$. By Lemma 4.1, there exist positive integer p and q such that $p \mid o_2/2$,

(1) $t_1t_2^qt_1 \notin A_1, t_1t_2^{q+p}t_1 \in A_1, t_1t_2^{q+2p}t_1 \notin A_1, , t_1t_2^{q+3p}t_1 \in A_1, \ldots$
or
(2) $t_1t_2^qt_1 \in A_1, t_1t_2^{q+p}t_1 \notin A_1, t_1t_2^{q+2p}t_1 \in A_1, t_1t_2^{q+3p}t_1 \notin A_1, \ldots$.

Suppose the former case. We have $r_1r_2^i, r_2^ir_1 \in R_{i_1} \setminus R_{i_1+1}$, for every integer $1 \leq i$. It follows that $t_1t_2^i, t_2^it_1 \in A_1$ for every integer $1 \leq i$. Since $r_1^2 = r_1$, we obtain $t_1^2 = t_1$. Hence, there exist elements $l_{R,1}, \ldots, l_{R,o_2}, l_{L,1}, \ldots, l_{L,o_2} \in G_1$, integers $1 \leq a_1, \ldots, a_{o_2} \leq n_{A_i}$ and $1 \leq b_1, \ldots, b_{o_2} \leq m_{A_i}$ such that $t_1t_2^i = (l_{R,i}; a, b_i), t_2^it_1 = (l_{L,i}; a_i, b)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq o_2$. Let $a_0 = a$ and $b_0 = b$. Now, as $t_1t_2^{q+\alpha p}t_1 \notin A_1$ if and only if α is even, we can conclude that for all non negative integers γ and γ', $p_{b_{q+\gamma p}, a_{\gamma' p}} = \theta$ if and only if $\gamma + \gamma'$ is even.

We claim that there is an onto homomorphism from $Z = \langle t_1t_2^q, t_2^p \rangle$ to F_7. Note that every element of $Z \setminus \{t_2^{p\gamma} \mid 1 \leq \gamma\}$ is of the form $(f; a_{\alpha' p}, b_{q+\alpha p})$ for some $\alpha, \alpha' \geq 0$. Defining the function $\psi : Z \to F_7$ as follows for such an element $z = (f; a_{\alpha' p}, b_{q+\alpha p})$:

- if $z \in T_{j_1+1}$ then $\psi(z) = \theta$;
- $\psi(t_2^{\gamma p}) = u$ for odd γ and $\psi(t_2^{\gamma p}) = 1$ for even γ;
- if α is even and α' is odd then $\psi(z) = (1; 1, 1)$;
- if α and α' are both even then $\psi(z) = (1; 1, 2)$;
- if α and α' are both odd then $\psi(z) = (1; 2, 1)$;
- if α is odd and α' is even then $\psi(z) = (1; 2, 2)$.

Since $p_{b_{q+\gamma p}, a_{\gamma' p}} = \theta$ if and only if $\gamma + \gamma'$ is even for all non negative integers γ and γ', ψ is a homomorphism.

The case (2) may be handled similarly □

In [12], it is proved that a finite semigroup S is positively Engel if and only if the following properties hold:

(1) all non-null principal factors of S are inverse semigroups whose maximal subgroups are nilpotent groups.
(2) S does not have an epimorphic image with F_7 as a subsemigroup.

We rewrite that theorem as Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.3. *Let S be a finite semigroup. The semigroup S is positively Engel if and only if $S \in \mathsf{BG}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ and $F_7 \not\prec S$.*

Proof. Suppose that $S \in \mathsf{BG}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ and $S \notin \mathsf{PE}$. Then there exists an onto homomorphism $\phi : S \to S'$ such that F_7 is a subsemigroup of S'. Let $U = \phi^{-1}(F_7)$. There is an onto homomorphism $\phi|_U : U \to F_7$. Therefore $F_7 \prec S$.

Now, suppose that $F_7 \prec S$. Then, there exist a subsemigroup U of S and an onto homomorphism $\phi : U \to F_7$. Then for every $a, w_1, w_2 \in U$ such that $\phi(a) = (1;1,1), \phi(w_1) = 1$ and $\phi(w_2) = u$, we have

$$\lambda_n(a, w_2, 1, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots) \neq \rho_n(a, w_2, 1, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots)$$

for every positive integer n. Therefore $S \notin \mathsf{PE}$. The result follows. □

Proposition 4.4. *The sequence*

$$\{(\lambda_{n!}(x, y, z, z^2, \ldots, z^{n!}), \rho_{n!}(x, y, z, z^2, \ldots, z^{n!})) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

converges in $\overline{\Omega}_{\{x,y,z\}}\mathsf{S} \times \overline{\Omega}_{\{x,y,z\}}\mathsf{S}$.

Proof. For simplicity, we let $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(x, y, z, \ldots, z^k)$ and $\rho_k = \rho_k(x, y, z, \ldots, z^k)$ for every $1 \leq k$. Suppose that S is a finite semigroup and $\phi : \overline{\Omega}_{\{x,y,z\}}\mathsf{S} \to S$ is a continuous homomorphism. Let $k = |S|$. Since S is finite, there exist positive integers t and r such that $t < r \leq k^3 + 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\phi(\lambda_t(x, y, z, \ldots, z^t)), \phi(\rho_t(x, y, z, \ldots, z^t)), \phi(z^{t+1})\Big)\\ &= \Big(\phi(\lambda_r(x, y, z, \ldots, z^r)), \phi(\rho_r(x, y, z, \ldots, z^r)), \phi(z^{r+1})\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Let s be a positive integer such that $t \leq s(r-t) < r$. Then, the equality

$$(\phi(\lambda_{n!}), \phi(\rho_{n!})) = (\phi(\lambda_{(n+1)!}), \phi(\rho_{(n+1)!}))$$

holds for every $n > s(r-t)$. The result follows. □

We denote by $(\lambda_{\mathsf{PE}}, \rho_{\mathsf{PE}})$ the limit of the sequence $(\lambda_{n!}, \rho_{n!})_{n!}$ in the preceding proposition.

Theorem 4.5. *The following equality holds*

$$\mathsf{PE} = \mathsf{BG}_{\mathsf{nil}} \cap [\![\phi(\lambda_{\mathsf{PE}}) = \phi(\rho_{\mathsf{PE}})]\!]$$

where ϕ is the continuous endomorphism of the free profinite semigroup on $\{x, y, z\}$ such that $\phi(x) = xzzx, \phi(y) = zxxz, \phi(z) = z$.

Proof. Suppose that $S \in \mathsf{BG}_{\mathsf{nil}} \cap [\![\phi(\lambda_{\mathsf{PE}}) = \phi(\rho_{\mathsf{PE}})]\!]$ and $F_7 \prec S$. Then there exist a subsemigroup U of S and an onto homomorphism $\phi : U \to F_7$. Let a, b be elements of U such that $\phi(a) = (1;1,2)$ and $\phi(b) = u$. Then, we have $\lambda_n(a, b, 1, b, b^2, \ldots, b^{n-1}) \neq \rho_n(a, b, 1, b, b^2, \ldots, b^{n-1})$ for every positive integer n, which contradicts the assumption that S satisfies the pseudoidentity $\phi(\lambda_{\mathsf{PE}}) = \phi(\rho_{\mathsf{PE}})$. A contradiction. Therefore by Theorem 4.3, $S \in \mathsf{PE}$.

The converse, follows at once from the definition of the pseudovariety PE. □

5. The pseudovariety NDF_{12}

We denote by F_{12} the subsemigroup of the full transformation semigroup on the set $\{1,2,3\} \cup \{\theta\}$ given by the union of the completely 0-simple semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\},3,3;I_3)$ and the semigroup $\langle w_1, w_2\rangle$,

$$F_{12} = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\},3,3;I_3) \cup \langle w_1, w_2\rangle, \tag{3}$$

where $w_1 = (1)(3,2,\theta)$ and $w_2 = (3,1,2,\theta)$. By [14, Lemma 3.2], the semigroup F_{12} is not nilpotent but the subsemigroup $\langle w_1, w_2\rangle$ is nilpotent.

Let S be a semigroup with a proper regular Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(\{G\},n,n;I_n)$. We define the functions ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 from S to the set $\mathcal{P}(\{1,\ldots,n\})$ as follows:

$\epsilon_1(w) = \{i \mid 1 \le i \le n \text{ and } \Gamma(w)(i) \ne \theta\}$,

$\epsilon_2(w) = \{i \mid 1 \le i \le n \text{ and there exists an integer } j \text{ such that } \Gamma(w)(j) = i\}$

for every $w \in S$. We also define the functions ι_1 and ι_2 from S to $\mathbb{N}$ as follows:

$$\iota_1(w) = |\epsilon_1(w)| \text{ and } \iota_2(w) = |\epsilon_2(w)|$$

for every $w \in S$.

Let $\mathsf{Exc}(F_7, F_{12})$ denote the class of all finite semigroups S that are divisible neither by F_7 nor by F_{12}. We say that the semigroup S is NDF_{12} if $S \in \mathsf{BG_{nil}}$ and $S \in \mathsf{Exc}(F_7, F_{12})$. We proceed with several technical lemmas that serve to show that the class of NDF_{12} semigroups is a pseudovariety. Based on the definition, the class of NDF_{12} semigroups is contained in the pseudovariety PE. Since $F_{12} \in \mathsf{PE}$[1] and F_{12} is not NDF_{12}, the pseudovariety NDF_{12} is strictly contained in the pseudovariety PE.

Lemma 5.1. *Let S be a semigroup with a proper regular Rees matrix subsemigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(\{G\},n,n;I_n)$ and let w be an element of S. Suppose that*

$$(a_1,\ldots,a_m,\theta) \subseteq \Gamma(w)$$

for some integers $1 \le a_1,\ldots,a_m \le n$ with $m > 1$. Then, we have

$$\max\{\iota_1(w^p y), \iota_1(yw^p), \iota_2(w^p y), \iota_2(yw^p)\} < \min\{\iota_1(w), \iota_2(w)\}$$

for every element $y \in S^1$ and integer $p > 1$.

Proof. Since $\epsilon_1(w^p y) \subseteq \epsilon_1(w)$ and $a_{m-1} \notin \epsilon_1(w^p y)$, we obtain $\iota_1(w^p y) < \iota_1(w)$.

There is a one to one function ϕ from $\epsilon_1(yw^p)$ to $\epsilon_1(w)$ as follows: for elements $a \in \epsilon_1(yw^p)$ and $b \in \epsilon_1(w)$, let $\phi(a) = b$ if $\Gamma(yw^{p-1})(a) = b$. We deduce that $\iota_1(yw^p) \le \iota_1(w)$. Since $m > 1$, we have $a_1 \in \epsilon_1(w)$. Hence, we obtain $\iota_1(yw^p) < \iota_1(w)$.

There is a one to one function ϕ from $\epsilon_2(w^p y)$ to $\epsilon_1(w)$ as follows: for elements $a \in \epsilon_2(w^p y)$ and $b \in \epsilon_1(w)$, let $\phi(a) = b$ if $\Gamma(wy)(b) = a$. We deduce that $\iota_2(w^p y) \le \iota_1(w)$. Since $a_1 \in \epsilon_1(w)$, we obtain $\iota_2(w^p y) < \iota_1(w)$.

[1] In order to check that $F_{12} \in \mathsf{PE}$, we used software developed in C++ by the second author.

There is a one to one function ϕ from $\epsilon_2(yw^p)$ to $\epsilon_1(w)$ as follows: for elements $a \in \epsilon_2(yw^p)$ and $b \in \epsilon_1(w)$, let $\phi(a) = b$ if $\Gamma(w)(b) = a$. We deduce that $\iota_2(yw^p) \leq \iota_1(w)$. Since $a_1 \in \epsilon_1(w)$, we obtain $\iota_2(yw^p) < \iota_1(w)$.

Similarly, we have $\iota_1(w^py), \iota_1(yw^p), \iota_2(w^py), \iota_2(yw^p) < \iota_2(w)$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let S be a finite semigroup. Suppose that there exist a proper ideal S' and an element w of S that satisfy the following properties:*

1. *the semigroup $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, n; I_n)$ is a proper ideal of S/S' for some finite group G and integer $n \geq 3$;*
2. *$(m, l) \subseteq \Gamma(w)$ for some distinct positive integers $1 \leq l, m \leq n$ where Γ is an $\mathcal{L}$-minimal non-nilpotent representation of S/S'.*

Then, we must have $F_7 \prec S$.

Proof. We claim that there is an onto homomorphism from the subsemigroup $Z = \langle (1; l, l), w\rangle$ of S to F_7. Defining the function $\psi : Z \to F_7$ as follows:

- if $z \in S'$ or $\Gamma(z)(l) = \Gamma(z)(m) = \theta$ then $\psi(z) = \theta$;
- if $(m, l) \subseteq \Gamma(z)$ then $\psi(z) = u$;
- if $(m)(l) \subseteq \Gamma(z)$ then $\psi(z) = 1$;
- if $(l) \subseteq \Gamma(z)$ and $\Gamma(z)(m) = \theta$ then $\psi(z) = (1; 1, 1)$;
- if $(l, m, \theta) \subseteq \Gamma(z)$ then $\psi(z) = (1; 1, 2)$;
- if $(m, l, \theta) \subseteq \Gamma(z)$ then $\psi(z) = (1; 2, 1)$;
- if $(m) \subseteq \Gamma(z)$ and $\Gamma(z)(l) = \theta$ then $\psi(z) = (1; 2, 2)$.

Since Γ is an action on S/S', ψ is a homomorphism. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let S be a finite semigroup. Suppose that there exist a proper ideal S' and elements w_1 and w_2 of S that satisfy the following properties:*

1. *the semigroup $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, n; I_n)$ is a proper ideal of S/S' for some finite group G and integer $n \geq 3$;*
2. *$[m, l, m'] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(w_1)$ and $(l)[m, m'] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(w_2)$ for some pairwise distinct positive integers $1 \leq l, m, m' \leq n$ where Γ is an $\mathcal{L}$-minimal non-nilpotent representation of S/S'.*

Then, we must have $F_7 \prec S$ or $F_{12} \prec S$.

Proof. Suppose that the integer m' is in a cycle of $\Gamma(w_1)$ with length L_{w_1}. Then $(l, m') \subseteq \Gamma(w_1^{L_{w_1}-1}w_2)$. Hence, by Lemma 5.2, there is an onto homomorphism from the subsemigroup $\langle (1; l, l), w_1^{L_{w_1}-1}w_2\rangle$ of S to F_7. Suppose next that the integer m' is in a cycle of $\Gamma(w_2)$ with length L_{w_2}. Then $(l, m) \subseteq \Gamma(w_1w_2^{L_{w_2}-1})$. Hence, by Lemma 5.2, there is an onto homomorphism from the subsemigroup $\langle (1; l, l), w_1w_2^{L_{w_2}-1}\rangle$ of S to F_7.

Now, suppose that the integer m' is in non-cycle orbits of both $\Gamma(w_1)$ and $\Gamma(w_2)$. Then the following set Σ is not empty:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma = \{&(y_1, y_2; a, b, c) \mid y_1, y_2 \in S, 1 \leq a, b, c \leq n, [a, c, b] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(y_1), (c)[a, b] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(y_2),\\ &\text{the orbit that contains } [a, c, b] \text{ in } \Gamma(y_1) \text{ is not a cycle and the orbit that}\\ &\text{contains } [a, b] \text{ in } \Gamma(y_2) \text{ is not a cycle}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since S is finite, there exists an element $\Delta = (y_1, y_2; a, b, c) \in \Sigma$ such that there does not exist an element $\Delta' = (u_1, u_2; e, f, d) \in \Sigma$ for which $\iota_i(u_k) < \iota_i(y_k)$ for every $i, k \in \{1, 2\}$.

Since $\Delta \in \Sigma$, there exist integers

$$1 \leq a_1, \ldots, a_t, a'_1, \ldots, a'_{t'}, b_1, \ldots, b_r, b'_1, \ldots, b'_{r'} \leq n$$

such that

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_t, c, b_1, \ldots, b_r, \theta) \subseteq \Gamma(y_1), (c)(a'_1, \ldots, a'_{t'}, b'_1, \ldots, b'_{r'}, \theta) \subseteq \Gamma(y_2),$$

$a_t = a'_{t'} = a$ and $b_1 = b'_1 = b$. Suppose that $\{a_1, \ldots, a_t\} \cap \{b'_1, \ldots, b'_{r'}\} \neq \varnothing$. Then, there exist integers $1 \leq \gamma \leq t$ and $1 \leq \lambda \leq r'$ such that $a_\gamma = b'_\lambda$. Thus, we obtain $(a_\gamma) \subseteq \Gamma(y_1^{t-\gamma} y_2^{\lambda})$, $[a_{\gamma+1}, c] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(y_1^{t-\gamma} y_2^{\lambda})$ and $[a_{\gamma+1}, a_\gamma, c] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(y_1^{t-\gamma+1} y_2^{\lambda-1})$. If the integer c is in a cycle of $\Gamma(y_1^{t-\gamma} y_2^{\lambda})$ or $\Gamma(y_1^{t-\gamma+1} y_2^{\lambda-1})$, then similarly there is an onto homomorphism from a subsemigroup of S to F_7. Otherwise, the integer c is in non-cycle orbits of $\Gamma(y_1^{t-\gamma+1} y_2^{\lambda-1})$ and $\Gamma(y_1^{t-\gamma} y_2^{\lambda})$. We conclude that $(y_1^{t-\gamma+1} y_2^{\lambda-1}, y_1^{t-\gamma} y_2^{\lambda}; a_{\gamma+1}, c, a_\gamma) \in \Sigma$. Since $a_\gamma \notin \{a_t, b'_1\}$, we obtain $\gamma < t$ and $1 < \lambda$. Then, by Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\iota_1(y_1^{t-\gamma+1} y_2^{\lambda-1}) < \iota_1(y_1),\ \iota_2(y_1^{t-\gamma+1} y_2^{\lambda-1}) < \iota_2(y_1),\ \iota_1(y_1^{t-\gamma} y_2^{\lambda}) < \iota_1(y_2),$$
$$\text{and } \iota_2(y_1^{t-\gamma} y_2^{\lambda}) < \iota_2(y_2),$$

a contradiction.

Suppose next that $\{a'_1, \ldots, a'_{t'}\} \cap \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} \neq \varnothing$. Then, there exist integers $1 \leq \gamma \leq t'$ and $1 \leq \lambda \leq r$ such that $a'_\gamma = b_\lambda$. Thus, we obtain $(b_\lambda) \subseteq \Gamma(y_2^{t'-\gamma+1} y_1^{\lambda-1})$, $[c, b_{\lambda-1}] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(y_2^{t'-\gamma+1} y_1^{\lambda-1})$ and $[c, b_\lambda, b_{\lambda-1}] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(y_2^{t'-\gamma} y_1^{\lambda})$. If the integer c is in a cycle of $\Gamma(y_2^{t'-\gamma+1} y_1^{\lambda-1})$ or $\Gamma(y_2^{t'-\gamma} y_1^{\lambda})$, then similarly there is an onto homomorphism from a subsemigroup of S to F_7. Otherwise, the integer c is in non-cycle orbits of $\Gamma(y_2^{t'-\gamma} y_1^{\lambda})$ and $\Gamma(y_2^{t'-\gamma+1} y_1^{\lambda-1})$. It follows that $(y_2^{t'-\gamma} y_1^{\lambda}, y_2^{t'-\gamma+1} y_1^{\lambda-1}; c, b_{\lambda-1}, b_\lambda) \in \Sigma$. Since $a'_\gamma \notin \{a'_{t'}, b_1\}$, we obtain $\gamma < t'$ and $1 < \lambda$. Then, by Lemma 5.1, we have $\iota_1(y_2^{t'-\gamma} y_1^{\lambda}) < \iota_1(y_1), \iota_2(y_2^{t'-\gamma} y_1^{\lambda}) < \iota_2(y_1), \iota_1(y_2^{t'-\gamma+1} y_1^{\lambda-1}) < \iota_1(y_2)$ and $\iota_2(y_2^{t'-\gamma+1} y_1^{\lambda-1}) < \iota_2(y_2)$. A contradiction.

We may, therefore, assume that

$$\{a_1, \ldots, a_t\} \cap \{b'_1, \ldots, b'_{r'}\} = \varnothing \text{ and } \{a'_1, \ldots, a'_{t'}\} \cap \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} = \varnothing.$$

We denote by F'_{12} the subsemigroup of the full transformation semigroup on the set $\{a_t, b_1, c\} \cup \{\theta'\}$ given by the union of the completely 0-simple semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{a_t, b_1, c\}, \{a_t, b_1, c\}; I_3)$ and the semigroup $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$,

$$F'_{12} = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{a_t, b_1, c\}, \{a_t, b_1, c\}; I_3) \cup \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle, \tag{4}$$

where $w_1 = (c)(a_t, b_1, \theta')$ and $w_2 = (a_t, c, b_1, \theta')$ and Γ' is an $\mathcal{L}$-minimal non-nilpotent representation of F'_{12}. We claim that there is an onto homomorphism from the subsemigroup $Z = \langle y_1, y_2, (1; b_1, a_t) \rangle$ onto F'_{12}. Let $\psi : Z \to F'_{12}$ be a function as follows:

(1) if $z \in S'$, then $\psi(z) = \theta'$;

(2) otherwise, $\Gamma(z)(i) = j$ if and only if $\Gamma'(\psi(z))(i) = j$ for every $i, j \in \{a_t, b_1, c\}$.

We prove that ψ is a homomorphism. Let $s_1, s_2 \in Z$ and $i \in \{a_t, b_1, c\}$. Suppose that $\Gamma'(\psi(s_1))(\Gamma'(\psi(s_2))(i)) = \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \{a_t, b_1, c\}$. Then, we obtain $\Gamma'(\psi(s_2))(i) = \beta$ for some $\beta \in \{a_t, b_1, c\}$ and, thus, $\Gamma(s_2)(i) = \beta$ and $\Gamma(s_1)(\beta) = \alpha$, whence $\Gamma(s_1 s_2)(i) = \alpha$. Now, suppose that

$$\Gamma'(\psi(s_1))(\Gamma'(\psi(s_2)(i))) = \theta'.$$

If $\Gamma'(\psi(s_1 s_2))(i) \neq \theta'$, then there exists an integer $\gamma \notin \{a_t, b_1, c\}$ such that $\Gamma(s_2)(i) = \gamma$ and $\Gamma(s_1)(\gamma) = \lambda$ for some integer λ in the set $\{a_t, b_1, c\}$. Since $s_1 \in Z$, there exist elements $v_1, \ldots, v_{n_{s_1}} \in \{y_1, y_2, (1; b_1, a_t)\}$ such that $s_1 = v_1 \cdots v_{n_{s_1}}$. Suppose that $\gamma \in \{b'_2, \ldots, b'_{r'}\} \cup \{b_2, \ldots, b_r\}$. Since

$$\{a_1, \ldots, a_t\} \cap \{b'_1, \ldots, b'_{r'}\} = \varnothing \text{ and } \{a'_1, \ldots, a'_{t'}\} \cap \{b_1, \ldots, b_r\} = \varnothing,$$

we obtain $\Gamma(v_1)(\gamma) \in \{b'_2, \ldots, b'_{r'}\} \cup \{b_2, \ldots, b_r\}$. Similarly, we have

$$\Gamma(v_1 \cdots v_{n_{s_1}})(\gamma) \in \{b'_2, \ldots, b'_{r'}\} \cup \{b_2, \ldots, b_r\}.$$

This contradicts the assumption that $\Gamma(s_1)(\gamma) = \lambda$ and $\lambda \in \{a_t, b_1, c\}$. Similarly, we obtain $\gamma \notin \{a'_2, \ldots, a'_{r'}\} \cup \{a_2, \ldots, a_r\}$, so that $\lambda \notin \{a_t, b_1, c\}$, a contradiction. Hence, $\Gamma'(\psi(s_1 s_2))(i) = \theta'$ and, thus, ψ is a homomorphism.

The result follows. □

Lemma 5.4. *Let T and V be finite block groups. If $F_{12} \prec T \times V$, then at least one of the conditions $T \notin \mathsf{Exc}(F_7, F_{12})$ or $V \notin \mathsf{Exc}(F_7, F_{12})$ holds.*

Proof. Since $F_{12} \prec T \times V$, there exists a subsemigroup U of $T \times V$ such that there is an onto homomorphism $\phi : U \to F_{12}$. Let

$$T \times V = R_1 \supset R_2 \supset \ldots \supset R_h \supset R_{h+1} = \varnothing$$

be a principal series of $T \times V$. Since T and V are finite, there exist elements r_1, r_2 and r_3 in the subsemigroup U and an integer $1 \leq i \leq h$ that satisfy the following properties:

(1) $\phi(r_1) = (1; 2, 3)$, $\phi(r_2) = w_1$ and $\phi(r_3) = w_2$;
(2) $r_1 \in R_i \setminus R_{i+1}$;
(3) $\phi^{-1}((1; 2, 3)) \cap R_{i+1} = \varnothing$.

As $\phi(r_1 r_2 r_1) = (1; 2, 3)$ and by (3), R_i / R_{i+1} is an inverse completely 0-simple semigroup or an inverse completely simple semigroup, say $M = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, n; I_n)$ or $\mathcal{M}(G, 1, 1; I_1)$. Then, there exist an element $g \in G$ and integers $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$ such that $r_1 = (g; \alpha, \beta)$. Let o be the order of G. Suppose that $\alpha = \beta$. Then, we have $r_1^{o+1} = r_1$ and, thus, $\phi^{-1}((1; 2, 3)) \cap \phi^{-1}(\theta) \neq \varnothing$, a contradiction. As $\phi(r_1 r_2 r_1) = \phi(r_1 r_3^2 r_1) = (1; 2, 3)$, we have $r_1 r_2 r_1, r_1 r_3^2 r_1 \in R_i \setminus R_{i+1}$ and, thus, $\Gamma(r_1 r_2 r_1) = \Gamma(r_1 r_3^2 r_1) = (\alpha, \beta, \theta)$ where Γ is an $\mathcal{L}$-minimal non-nilpotent representation of M. Therefore, $[\beta, \alpha] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(r_2)$ and there exists an integer $1 \leq \gamma \leq n$ such that $[\beta, \gamma, \alpha] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(r_3)$. Also, since $\phi(r_1 r_3 r_2 r_3 r_1) = (1; 2, 3)$, we obtain $(\gamma) \sqsubseteq \Gamma(r_2)$.

The elements r_1, r_2 and r_3 are in $T \times V$. Then, there exist elements $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in T$ and $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$ such that $r_1 = (t_1, v_1)$, $r_2 = (t_2, v_2)$ and $r_3 = (t_3, v_3)$. Let

$$T = T_1 \supset T_2 \supset \ldots \supset T_{h'} \supset T_{h'+1} = \varnothing$$

and

$$V = V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \ldots \supset V_{h''} \supset V_{h''+1} = \varnothing$$

be, respectively, principal series of T and V. Suppose that $t_1 \in T_j \setminus T_{j+1}$ and $v_1 \in V_k \setminus V_{k+1}$, for some integers $1 \leq j \leq h'$ and $1 \leq k \leq h''$.

Since $[\alpha, \beta] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(r_1)$ and $[\beta, \alpha] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(r_2)$, we obtain $(r_1 r_2)^o r_1 (r_2 r_1)^o = r_1$. Then, we have

$$(t_1 t_2)^o t_1 (t_2 t_1)^o = t_1 \text{ and } (v_1 v_2)^o v_1 (v_2 v_1)^o = v_1. \tag{5}$$

By [8, Theorem 3], we have $R_i \setminus R_{i+1} = (T_j \setminus T_{j+1}) \times (V_k \setminus V_{k+1})$ for suitable j and k. Let $A_1 = T_j \setminus T_{j+1}$ and $A_2 = V_k \setminus V_{k+1}$. Again, by (5), the subset $A_i \cup \{\theta\}$ is an inverse completely 0-simple semigroup or A_i is an inverse completely simple semigroup, say $M_{A_i} = \mathcal{M}^0(G_{A_i}, n_{A_i}, n_{A_i}; I_{n_{A_i}})$ or $M_{A_i} = \mathcal{M}(G_{A_i}, 1, 1; I_1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Then, there exist elements $(l; a, b) \in M_{A_1}$ and $(l'; a', b') \in M_{A_2}$, such that $t_1 = (l; a, b)$ and $v_1 = (l'; a', b')$.

Since r_1^2 is in R_{i+1} and $R_i \setminus R_{i+1} = A_1 \times A_2$, we obtain $t_1^2 \notin A_1$ or $v_1^2 \notin A_2$. By symmetry, we may assume that $t_1^2 \notin A_1$, whence $a \neq b$. As $r_1 r_2 r_1, r_1 r_3^2 r_1 \in A_1 \times A_2$, we have $t_1 t_2 t_1, t_1 t_3^2 t_1 \in A_1$ and, thus, $\Gamma'(t_1 t_2 t_1) = \Gamma'(t_1 t_3^2 t_1) = (a, b, \theta)$ where Γ' is an $\mathcal{L}$-minimal non-nilpotent representation of M_{A_1}. Therefore $[b, a] \sqsubseteq \Gamma'(t_2)$ and there exists an integer $1 \leq x \leq n_{A_1}$ such that $[b, x, a] \sqsubseteq \Gamma'(t_3)$. Also, since $r_1 r_3 r_2 r_3 r_1 \in A_1 \times A_2$, it follows that $t_1 t_3 t_2 t_3 t_1 \in A_1$ and, thus, $(x) \sqsubseteq \Gamma'(t_2)$. By Lemma 5.3, we conclude that $F_7 \prec T$ or $F_{12} \prec T$. □

Lemma 5.4 yields the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *The class of NDF_{12} semigroups is a pseudovariety.*

We denote the pseudovariety of NDF_{12} semigroups by $\mathsf{NDF_{12}}$.

Proposition 5.6. *The sequence*

$$\{(\lambda_{n!}(x, y, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots), \rho_{n!}(x, y, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots)) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

converges in $\overline{\Omega}_{\{x,y,w_1,w_2\}}\mathsf{S} \times \overline{\Omega}_{\{x,y,w_1,w_2\}}\mathsf{S}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.4. □

We denote the limit of the sequence $(\lambda_{n!}, \rho_{n!})_{n!}$ by $(\lambda_{x,y,w_1,w_2}, \rho_{x,y,w_1,w_2})$ in the preceding proposition.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $S \in \mathsf{BG_{nil}}$ be a finite semigroup. The semigroup S is not NDF_{12} if and only if, there exist elements $a, w_1, w_2 \in S$ such that*

$$\lambda_{aw_2, w_2 a, w_1, w_2} \neq \rho_{aw_2, w_2 a, w_1, w_2}$$

and

$$(\rho_{aw_2, w_2 a, w_1, w_2} w_1 \lambda_{aw_2, w_2 a, w_1, w_2})^{\omega+1} = \rho_{aw_2, w_2 a, w_1, w_2} w_1 \lambda_{aw_2, w_2 a, w_1, w_2}.$$

Proof. Suppose that there exist elements $a, w_1, w_2 \in S$ such that

$$\lambda_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2} \neq \rho_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2}$$

and $(\rho_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2} w_1 \lambda_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2})^{\omega+1} = \rho_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2} w_1 \lambda_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2}$. Then

$$\lambda_{k^2+1}(aw_2, w_2a, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots) \neq \rho_{k^2+1}(aw_2, w_2a, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots)$$

where $k = |S|$. Since S is finite, there exist positive integers t and r such that $t < r \leq k^2 + 1$ with

$$\begin{aligned}&(\lambda_t(aw_2, w_2a, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots), \rho_t(aw_2, w_2a, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots))\\ &= (\lambda_r(aw_2, w_2a, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots), \rho_r(aw_2, w_2a, w_1, w_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots)).\end{aligned}$$

Put $s_1 = \lambda_t$, $s_2 = \rho_t$ and $h = r - t$. To simplify the notation, we let $\bar{\lambda}_j = \lambda_{t+j}$ and $\bar{\rho}_j = \rho_{t+j}$ for $j \geq 0$. In this notation, we may write $s_1 = \bar{\lambda}_0 = \bar{\lambda}_h = \lambda_h(s_1, s_2, v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_{t+h})$ and $s_2 = \bar{\rho}_0 = \bar{\rho}_h = \rho_h(s_1, s_2, v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_{t+h})$ where $v_{2l+1} = w_1$ and $v_{2l} = w_2$ for all $1 \leq l$. Note further that $s_1 \neq s_2$.

Let

$$S = S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \ldots \supset S_s \supset S_{s+1} = \varnothing$$

be a principal series of S. Suppose that $s_1 \in S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq s$. Because S_i and S_{i+1} are ideals of S, the above equalities yield $s_2 \in S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$ and $v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_{t+h} \in S \setminus S_{i+1}$. Furthermore, S_i/S_{i+1} is a completely 0-simple semigroup, say $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$, or a completely simple semigroup, say $\mathcal{M}(G, n, m; P)$.

If $n = m = 1$, then $S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$ is a group. We denote by e its identity element. Since $s_1, s_2 \in S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$, the equalities $s_1 = \lambda_h(s_1, s_2, v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_{t+h})$ and $s_2 = \rho_h(s_1, s_2, v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_{t+h})$ yield $\bar{\lambda}_j, \bar{\rho}_j \in S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$, for every $1 \leq j \leq h$. Now, as e is the identity element of $S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$, we have $\bar{\lambda}_j v_{t+j+1} \bar{\rho}_j = \bar{\lambda}_j e v_{t+j+1} \bar{\rho}_j$ and $\bar{\rho}_j v_{t+j+1} \bar{\lambda}_j = \bar{\rho}_j e v_{t+j+1} \bar{\lambda}_j$, for every $0 \leq j < h$. If $S_{i+1} \neq \varnothing$ and $e v_{t+j} \in S_{i+1}$ holds for some $1 \leq j \leq h$, then $s_1, s_2 \in S_{i+1}$, a contradiction. So, we must have $e v_{t+j} \in S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$, for all $1 \leq j \leq h$. Consequently, the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}s_1 &= \lambda_h(s_1, s_2, v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_{t+h}) = \lambda_h(s_1, s_2, e v_{t+1}, \ldots, e v_{t+h})\\ &\neq s_2 = \rho_h(s_1, s_2, v_{t+1}, \ldots, v_{t+h}) = \rho_h(s_1, s_2, e v_{t+1}, \ldots, e v_{t+h}).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, it follows that $S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$ is a non-nilpotent group. Hence, $S \notin \mathsf{BG_{nil}}$, a contradiction.

Now, assume that $n + m > 2$. By [11, Lemma 2.1], in this case, we have $S_i/S_{i+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, n; I_n)$ with G a nilpotent group and $n > 1$. Also, since $s_1, s_2 \in S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$, there exist $1 \leq n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \leq n$ and $g, g' \in G$ such that $s_1 = (g; n_1, n_2)$ and $s_2 = (g'; n_3, n_4)$. Hence,

$$[n_2, n_3; n_4, n_1] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(v_{t+1}), [n_2, n_1; n_4, n_3] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(v_{t+2}).$$

Suppose that $(n_1, n_2) = (n_3, n_4)$. Then, there exist elements $k_j \in G$ such that $(k; \alpha, n_2) v_{t+j} = (k k_j; \alpha, n_1)$, for every $k \in G, \alpha \in \{n_1, n_2\}$ and $1 \leq j \leq h$.

Since $\bar{\lambda}_{j-1} = (g_{j-1}; n_1, n_2)$, $\bar{\rho}_{j-1} = (g'_{j-1}; n_1, n_2)$, for some $g_{j-1}, g'_{j-1} \in G$, we get

$$\bar{\lambda}_j = (g_{j-1}k_j g'_{j-1}; n_1, n_2),\ \bar{\rho}_j = (g'_{j-1}k_j g_{j-1}; n_1, n_2)$$

and, thus,

$$g = \lambda_h(g, g', k_1, \ldots, k_h),\ g' = \rho_h(g, g', k_1, \ldots, k_h).$$

Because of Lemma 2.1, this yields a contradiction with G being nilpotent. So we have shown that $(n_1, n_2) \neq (n_3, n_4)$.

If t is an even integer, then

$$\Gamma(\lambda_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2}) = (n_1, n_2, \theta),\ \Gamma(\rho_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2}) = (n_3, n_4, \theta),$$

$$[n_2, n_3; n_4, n_1] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(w_1) \text{ and } [n_2, n_1; n_4, n_3] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(w_2).$$

Otherwise, we have

$$\Gamma(\lambda_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2}) = (n_1, n_4, \theta),\ \Gamma(\rho_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2}) = (n_3, n_2, \theta),$$

$$[n_2, n_1; n_4, n_3] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(w_1) \text{ and } [n_2, n_3; n_4, n_1] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(w_2).$$

By symmetry, we may assume that that t is even. Now, as

$$(\rho_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2} w_1 \lambda_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2})^{\omega+1} = \rho_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2} w_1 \lambda_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2},$$

we obtain $n_2 = n_3$. Therefore

$$(n_2)[n_4, n_1] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(w_1) \text{ and } [n_4, n_2, n_1] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(w_2).$$

Now, by Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3, $F_7 \prec S$ or $F_{12} \prec S$. Hence, $S \notin \mathsf{NDF}_{12}$.

Conversely, suppose that S is not NDF_{12}. Hence, $S \notin \mathsf{Exc}(F_7, F_{12})$. Then, there exist a subsemigroup U of S and an onto homomorphism $\phi : U \to T$ such that T is isomorphic with F_7 or F_{12}. Let

$$U = U_1 \supset U_2 \supset \ldots \supset U_{s'} \supset U_{s'+1} = \varnothing$$

be a principal series of U. Suppose that T is isomorphic with F_7. Since U is finite, there exist elements a and r_1 in the semigroup U and an integer $1 \leq i' \leq s'$ that satisfy the following properties:

(1) $\phi(a) = (1; 1, 1)$ and $\phi(r_1) = u$;
(2) $a \in U_{i'} \setminus U_{i'+1}$;
(3) $\phi^{-1}((1; 1, 1)) \cap U_{i'+1} = \varnothing$.

Since $(\lambda_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1}, \rho_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1}) = (\lambda_\gamma, \rho_\gamma)$ for some even integer γ, we obtain

$$(\phi(\lambda_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1}), \phi(\rho_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1})) = ((1; 1, 2), (1; 2, 1)).$$

It follows that $\lambda_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1} \neq \rho_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1}$. Now, as

$$\phi(\rho_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1} r_1^2 \lambda_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1}) = (1; 2, 2),$$

similarly as in the proof of Lemma 5.4, we have

$$(\rho_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1} r_1^2 \lambda_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1})^{\omega+1} = \rho_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1} r_1^2 \lambda_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1}.$$

Now, suppose that U is isomorphic with F_{12}. Since U is finite, there exist elements a, r_1, r_2 in the semigroup U and an integer $1 \leq i' \leq s'$ that satisfy the following properties:

(1) $\phi(a) = (1;2,3)$, $\phi(r_1) = w_1$ and $\phi(r_2) = w_2$;
(2) $a \in U_{i'} \setminus U_{i'+1}$;
(3) $\phi^{-1}((1;2,3)) \cap U_{i'+1} = \varnothing$.

Since, $\phi(\lambda_{ar_2,r_2a,r_1,r_2}) = (1;2,1)$ and $\phi(\rho_{ar_2,r_2a,r_1,r_2}) = (1;1,3)$, we obtain $\lambda_{ar_2,r_2a,r_1,r_2} \neq \rho_{ar_2,r_2a,r_1,r_2}$. Now, as $\phi(\rho_{ar_2,r_2a,r_1,r_2} w_1 \lambda_{ar_2,r_2a,r_1,r_2}) = (1;1,1)$, again similarly as in the proof of Lemma 5.4, we have

$$(\rho_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1} r_1^2 \lambda_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1})^{\omega+1} = \rho_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1} r_1^2 \lambda_{ar_1,r_1a,r_1^2,r_1}.$$

The result follows. □

By Theorem 5.7, we have $\mathsf{NT} \subseteq \mathsf{NDF}_{12}$. In Section 8, we show that the pseudovariety NT is strictly contained in NDF_{12}.

Lemma 5.7 yields the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8. *Let S be a finite semigroup. The semigroup S is in NDF_{12} if and only if $S \in \mathsf{BG}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ and satisfies the implication*

$$\begin{aligned}&(\rho_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2} w_1 \lambda_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2})^{\omega+1} = \rho_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2} w_1 \lambda_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2} \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2} = \rho_{aw_2,w_2a,w_1,w_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Even though we have shown that NDF_{12} is a pseudovariety, and therefore admits a basis of pseudoidentities by Reiterman's theorem, we leave as an open problem to determine a simple such basis. In particular, we do not know whether NDF_{12} admits a finite basis of pseudoidentities.

In [14], the authors defined minimal non-nilpotent semigroups. A non-nilpotent semigroup S is *minimal non-nilpotent* if and only if every proper subsemigroup and every Rees factor semigroup of S is nilpotent. From [14, Corollary 4.2], it follows that every finite aperiodic minimal non-nilpotent semigroup S is an epimorphic image of one of the following semigroups:

(1) a semigroup of right or left zero semigroups with 2 elements;
(2) $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 2, 2; I_2) \cup^\Gamma T$ such that $T = \langle u \rangle \cup \{\theta\}$ with θ the zero of S, $u^{2^k} = 1$ the identity of $T \setminus \{\theta\}$ (and of S) and $\Gamma(u) = (1,2)$;
(3) $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 3, 3; I_3) \cup^\Gamma \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$, with $\Gamma(w_1) = (2,1,3,\theta)$ and $\Gamma(w_2) = (2,3,\theta)(1)$, $w_2 w_1^2 = w_1^2 w_2 = w_1^3 = w_2 w_1 w_2 = \theta$;
(4) $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, n, n; I_n) \cup^\Gamma \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$, with

$$[k, m; k', m'] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(v_1) \text{ and } [k, m'; k', m] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(v_2)$$

for pairwise distinct integers k, k', m and m' between 1 and n, there do not exist distinct integers l_1 and l_2 between 1 and n such that $(l_1, l_2) \subseteq \Gamma(x)$ for some $x \in \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ and there do not exist pairwise distinct integers o_1, o_2 and o_3 between 1 and n such that $(o_2, o_1, o_3, \theta) \subseteq \Gamma(y_1)$, $(o_2, o_3, \theta)(o_1) \subseteq \Gamma(y_2)$ for some $y_1, y_2 \in \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$.

The pseudovariety $\mathsf{BG}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ does not contain any semigroup in (1). The pseudovariety PE does not contain any semigroup in (1) and (2). The pseudovariety NDF_{12} does not contain any semigroup in (1), (2) and (3). Clearly the pseudovariety MN does not contain any semigroup in all of them.

Note that there exists a finite semigroup S such that for all $s_1, s_2 \in S, \langle s_1, s_2\rangle \in \mathsf{NDF}_{12}$ but $S \notin \mathsf{NDF}_{12}$. For example the semigroup F_{12}.

6. The pseudovariety NT

Unlike all other pseudovarieties considered so far NT turns out to have infinite rank.

Theorem 6.1. *The pseudovariety* NT *has infinite rank and, therefore, it is non-finitely based.*

Proof. We prove that for every positive integer $m \geq 3$, there exists a finite semigroup S that is not in NT but such that $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}\rangle \in \mathsf{NT}$ for all $x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1} \in S$.

Let $n = m - 2$ and N_{2^n} be a subsemigroup of the full transformation semigroup on the set $H_n \cup \{\theta\}$ which is the union of the completely 0-simple semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, H_n, H_n; I_{6\times 2^n})$ and the semigroup $\langle x, y, w_1, \ldots, w_{n+2}\rangle$,

$$N_{2^n} = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, H_n, H_n; I_{6\times 2^n}) \cup \langle x, y, w_1, \ldots, w_{n+2}\rangle \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
H_n &= \{a_1, \ldots, a_{2^n}, a'_1, \ldots, a'_{2^n}, b_1, \ldots, b_{2^n}, b'_1, \ldots, b'_{2^n}, c_1, \ldots, c_{2^n}, d_1, \ldots, d_{2^n}\},\\
x &= (c_1, a_1, \theta)\cdots(c_{2^n}, a_{2^n}, \theta)(b'_1, d_1, \theta)\cdots(b'_{2^n}, d_{2^n}, \theta),\\
y &= (a'_1, c_1, \theta)\cdots(a'_{2^n}, c_{2^n}, \theta)(d_1, b_1, \theta)\cdots(d_{2^n}, b_{2^n}, \theta),\\
w_1 &= (b_{2^{n-1}+1}, a'_1, \theta)\cdots(b_{2^n}, a'_{2^{n-1}}, \theta)(a_{2^{n-1}+1}, b'_1, \theta)\cdots(a_{2^n}, b'_{2^{n-1}}, \theta),\\
w_2 &= (b_{2^{n-2}+1}, b'_{2^{n-1}+1}, \theta)\cdots(b_{2^{n-1}}, b'_{2^{n-1}+2^{n-2}}, \theta)\\
&\qquad (a_{2^{n-2}+1}, a'_{2^{n-1}+1}, \theta)\cdots(a_{2^{n-1}}, a'_{2^{n-1}+2^{n-2}}, \theta),\\
w_i &= (b_{2^{n-i}+1}, a'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-(i-1)}+1}, \theta)\cdots(b_{2^{n-(i-1)}}, a'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-i}}, \theta)\\
&\qquad (a_{2^{n-i}+1}, b'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-(i-1)}+1}, \theta)\cdots(a_{2^{n-(i-1)}}, b'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-i}}, \theta)\\
&\qquad \text{for odd } i \in \{2, \ldots, n\},\\
w_i &= (b_{2^{n-i}+1}, b'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-(i-1)}+1}, \theta)\cdots(b_{2^{n-(i-1)}}, b'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-i}}, \theta)\\
&\qquad (a_{2^{n-i}+1}, a'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-(i-1)}+1}, \theta)\cdots(a_{2^{n-(i-1)}}, a'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-i}}, \theta)\\
&\qquad \text{for even } i \in \{2, \ldots, n\},
\end{aligned}$$

and, if n is an odd number, then

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{n+1} &= (a_1, a'_{2^n}, \theta)(b_1, b'_{2^n}, \theta),\\
w_{n+2} &= (a_1, b'_{2^n}, \theta)(b_1, a'_{2^n}, \theta)
\end{aligned}$$

otherwise,

$$w_{n+1} = (a_1, b'_{2^n}, \theta)(b_1, a'_{2^n}, \theta),$$

$$w_{n+2} = (b_1, b'_{2^n}, \theta)(a_1, a'_{2^n}, \theta).$$

Let $X = (c, a, \theta)(b', d, \theta), Y = (a', c, \theta)(d, b, \theta), W_1 = (b, a', \theta)(a, b', \theta)$ and $W_2 = (a, a', \theta)(b, b', \theta)$ and consider the transformation semigroup

$$V = \langle X, Y, W_1, W_2 \rangle$$

on the set $\{a, a', b, b', c, d\} \cup \{\theta\}$. Let

$$S' = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, \{a, a', b, b', c, d\}, \{a, a', b, b', c, d\}; I_6) \cup V.$$

Also, let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, \delta)$ be a finite automaton where $Q = H_n \cup \{\theta\}$ is the set of states,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma = \{&x, y, w_1, \ldots, w_{n+2}, (e_1, e_2, \theta), (e_2, e_3, \theta), \ldots, (e_{2^n-1}, e_{2^n}, \theta),\\ &(a_{2^n}, a'_1, \theta), (a'_{2^n}, b_1, \theta), (b_{2^n}, b'_1, \theta), (b'_{2^n}, c_1, \theta), (c_{2^n}, d_1, \theta),\\ &(d_{2^n}, a_1, \theta) \mid e \in \{a, a', b, b', c, d\}\}\end{aligned}$$

is the set of symbols and $\delta(j, g) = \Gamma(g)(j)$, for all $j \in Q$ and $g \in \Sigma$. In fact, the transition semigroup of the automaton $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic with the semigroup N_{2^n}. Now, let $\mathcal{A}'$ be the quotient of the automaton $\mathcal{A}$ given by the morphism $\phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ where $\phi(e_i) = e$, for every $e \in \{a, a', b, b', c, d\}$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$. The transition monoid of the automaton $\mathcal{A}'$ is isomorphic with the semigroup S'.

If the inequalities

$$\lambda_{n+3}(\alpha, \beta, 1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{n+2}), \rho_{n+3}(\alpha, \beta, 1, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{n+2}) \neq \overline{\theta}$$

hold for some $\alpha, \beta \in S', \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{n+2} \in S'^1$, then one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $\alpha, \beta \in \{X, Y\}$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_3 = \cdots = W_1$ and $\gamma_2 = \gamma_4 = \cdots = W_2$;
- $\alpha = \beta = \gamma_1 = (l)$ for some integer l such that $1 \leq l \leq 6$;
- $\alpha = \beta = (l)$ and $\gamma_1 = 1$ for some integer l such that $1 \leq l \leq 6$.[2]

Now, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda_{n+3} =)&\lambda_{n+3}(r_1, r_2, 1, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+2})\\ \neq (\rho_{n+3} =)&\rho_{n+3}(r_1, r_2, 1, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+2})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda_{n+3}(r_1, r_2, 1, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+2}), \rho_{n+3}(r_1, r_2, 1, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+2}) \neq \overline{\theta},$$

for some elements $r_1, r_2 \in N_{2^n}$ and $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n+2} \in N^1_{2^n}$. Then, there exist elements $e, f, e', f' \in \{a, a', b, b', c, d\}$ and integers $1 \leq i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \leq 2^n$ such that $\Gamma(\lambda_{n+3})(e_{i_1}) = f_{j_1}$ and $\Gamma(\rho_{n+3})(e'_{i_2}) = f'_{j_2}$. Hence, $e.\lambda_{n+3} = f$ and $e'.\rho_{n+3} = f'$ in the automaton $\mathcal{A}'$. By the previous observation, $r_1, r_2 \in \{x, y\}$, $v_1, v_3, \ldots \in \{w_1, w_3, \ldots\}$ and $v_2, v_4, \ldots \in \{w_2, w_4, \ldots\}$ or there exist an integer $1 \leq l \leq 6$, integers $1 \leq l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_5, l_6 \leq 2^n$ and an element $e \in \{a, a', b, b', c, d\}$ such that $r_1 = (e_{l_1}, e_{l_2}, \theta)$ or $r_1 = (e_{l_1})$ $(l_1 = l_2)$, $r_2 = (e_{l_3}, e_{l_4}, \theta)$ or $r_2 = (e_{l_3})$ $(l_3 = l_4)$ and $v_1 = (e_{l_5}, e_{l_6}, \theta)$, or $v_1 = (e_{l_5})$ $(l_5 = l_6)$ or $v_1 = 1$. Since

[2]In order to check this, we used software developed in C++ by the second author.

$r_1r_2, r_2r_1 \neq \overline{\theta}$, we obtain $l_2 = l_3$ and $l_1 = l_4$. If $v = 1$, then $l_1 = l_2$. Otherwise, as $r_1r_2v_1r_2r_1, r_2r_1v_1r_1r_2 \neq \overline{\theta}$, we have $l_1 = l_2 = l_5 = l_6$. This is in contradiction with the assumption that $r_1 \neq r_2$.

Now, suppose that $r_1, r_2 \in \{x, y\}$, $v_1, v_3, \ldots \in \{w_1, w_3, \ldots\}$ and $v_2, v_4, \ldots \in \{w_2, w_4, \ldots\}$ and k is the least integer such that $v_k \neq w_k$. By induction, it is easy to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\lambda_1, \rho_1\} &= \{(a'_1, a_1, \theta)\cdots(a'_{2^n}, a_{2^n}, \theta), (b'_1, b_1, \theta)\cdots(b'_{2^n}, b_{2^n}, \theta)\},\\
\{\lambda_i, \rho_i\} &= \{(b'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-(i-1)}+1}, a_1, \theta)\cdots(b'_{2^n}, a_{2^{n-(i-1)}}, \theta),\\
&\qquad (a'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-(i-1)}+1}, b_1, \theta)\cdots(a'_{2^n}, b_{2^{n-(i-1)}}, \theta)\},\\
&\qquad \text{for even } 2 \leq i \leq k-1,\\
\{\lambda_i, \rho_i\} &= \{(a'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-(i-1)}+1}, a_1, \theta)\cdots(a'_{2^n}, a_{2^{n-(i-1)}}, \theta),\\
&\qquad (b'_{2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-(i-1)}+1}, b_1, \theta)\cdots(b'_{2^n}, b_{2^{n-(i-1)}}, \theta)\},\\
&\qquad \text{for odd } 2 \leq i \leq k-1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $v_k \in \{w_1, w_2, \ldots\}$, there exists an integer k' such that $v_k = w_{k'}$. Suppose that $k' < k$. Then, $2^{n-(k-1)} < 2^{n-k'} + 1$ and, thus, $\lambda_{k+1} = \overline{\theta}$, which contradicts the assumption. Also, if $k' > k$, then $2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-(k-1)}+1 > 2^{n-1}+\cdots+2^{n-k'}$. Again $\lambda_{k+1} = \overline{\theta}$, which is also contradictory with the assumption. Hence, we must have $v_1 = w_1, \ldots, v_{n+2} = w_{n+2}$.

Since $X, Y, W_1, W_2 \notin S'S'$,[3] we obtain $x, y, w_i \notin N_{2^n}N_{2^n}$, for every integer $1 \leq i \leq n+2$. Hence, the semigroup $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}\rangle$ is in NT for all elements $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in N_{2^n}$. Now, as

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_{n+4} =)\lambda_{n+4}(x, y, 1, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{n+1})\\
\neq (\rho_{n+4} =)\rho_{n+4}(x, y, 1, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\lambda_{n+2}(x, y, 1, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+1}), \rho_{n+2}(x, y, 1, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+1})) = (\lambda_{n+4}, \rho_{n+4}),$$

the semigroup $\langle x, y, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+1}, w_{n+2})\rangle$ is not in NT. □

7. The pseudovarieties TM and EUNNG

In [13], the upper non-nilpotent graph $\mathcal{N}_S$ of a finite semigroup S is investigated. Recall that, the vertices of $\mathcal{N}_S$ are the elements of S and there is an edge between x and y, if the subsemigroup generated by x and y is not nilpotent. Recall from [18] by that the collection of finite semigroups with empty upper non-nilpotent graph is a pseudovariety which is denoted EUNNG. It is known that a finite group is in TM if and only if it is an extension of a nilpotent group by a 2-group (see [5, 19]).

For the pseudovarieties TM and EUNNG, we have the following result.

Theorem 7.1. *We have the following arguments.*

[3] In order to check this, we used the software Gap.

(1) $\mathsf{TM} = [\![\phi^\omega(x) = \phi^\omega(y)]\!]$ *where* ϕ *is the continuous endomorphism of the free profinite semigroup on* $\{x, y\}$ *such that* $\phi(x) = xy, \phi(y) = yx$.
(2) $\mathsf{EUNNG} = [\![\phi^\omega(x) = \phi^\omega(y)]\!]$ *where* ϕ *is the continuous endomorphism of the free profinite semigroup on* $\{x, y, z, t\}$ *such that* $\phi(x) = xzytyzx, \phi(y) = yzxtxzy, \phi(z) = z, \phi(t) = t$ *and* $\{x, y, z, t\} \subseteq \{a, b\}^+$.

Theorem 7.1(1) had already been observed by the first author [2, Corollary 6.4]. It is also known that a finite semigroup S is in TM if and only if the principal factors of S are either null semigroups or inverse semigroups over groups that are extensions of nilpotent groups by 2-groups [12, Corollary 10].

Corollary 7.2. *The ranks of the pseudovarieties* MN, PE, NDF_{12}, TM *and* EUNNG *are respectively* $4, 2, 3, 2,$ *and* 2.

Proof. By Theorems 4.5 and 7.1, the ranks of the pseudovarieties PE, TM and EUNNG are all equal to 2.

By Theorems 3.1 and Lemma 5.7, the ranks of the pseudovarieties MN and NDF_{12} are bounded, respectively, by 4 and 3. The existence of the semigroups N_1 and F_{12} implies that the ranks of the pseudovarieties MN and NDF_{12} are respectively, 4 and 3. □

Open Problem. Are the pseudovarieties NDF_{12} and EUNNG finitely based?

8. Comparison of the above pseudovarieties

By [13, Theorem 2.6], $\mathsf{EUNNG} \subseteq \mathsf{PE}$. In fact, $\mathsf{EUNNG} \neq \mathsf{PE}$ since there exists a finite semigroup S such that $S \in \mathsf{PE} \setminus \mathsf{EUNNG}$. We give next an example of such a semigroup.

Let N_2 be

$$\left\langle (8,2,6,1,5,\theta)(3,7,\theta)(9,4,\theta), (1,8,\theta)(7,2,\theta)(5,4,10,3,9,\theta) \right\rangle \tag{7}$$

where $(8,2,6,1,5,\theta)(3,7,\theta)(9,4,\theta)$ and $(1,8,\theta)(7,2,\theta)(5,4,10,3,9,\theta)$ are transformations. The semigroup N_2 is the disjoint union $M \cup N$, where $M = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 10, 10; I_{10})$ is a completely 0-simple subsemigroup ideal of N_2 and

$$\begin{aligned} N = \{&(1)(7,5,\theta), (1,2,\theta)(3,4,\theta)(10,7,6,\theta), (1,2,\theta)(9,10,\theta), (1,3,\theta)(9),\\ &(1,4,\theta)(3,2,\theta)(6,8,\theta)(9,10,\theta), (1,7,\theta)(9,4,\theta),\\ &(1,8,\theta)(7,2,\theta)(5,4,10,3,9,\theta), (1,8,\theta)(7,4,\theta), (1,10,\theta)(9,3,\theta),\\ &(2,1,\theta)(8,6,5,\theta), (2,4,\theta)(8), (2,5,\theta)(8,1,\theta), (2,8,\theta)(6,4,\theta),\\ &(3,1,\theta)(6), (3,4,\theta)(6,8,\theta), (3,5,\theta)(6,1,\theta), (3,6,2,\theta),\\ &(3,10,\theta)(10,2,\theta), (4,2,\theta)(10), (4,3,\theta)(5,10,9,\theta), (4)(5,7,\theta),\\ &(4,9,\theta)(5,3,\theta), (4,10,\theta)(5,2,\theta), (7,1,6,\theta),\\ &(8,2,6,1,5,\theta)(3,7,\theta)(9,4,\theta), (10,4,7,\theta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Every principal factor of N_2 except M is null.[4]

Suppose that $a, b \in N_2$ and $c \in N_2^1$. Since every principal factor of N_2 is either a null semigroup or an inverse semigroup over a trivial group, by [12, Theorem 9], the semigroup N_2 is in TM. Thus, if $c = 1$ then there exists an integer n such that $\lambda_{n+2}(a, b, 1, 1, c, \ldots, c^n) = \rho_{n+2}(a, b, 1, 1, c, \ldots, c^n)$. Now, suppose that $c \neq 1$. Note that, in N_2, no element has a nontrivial cycle. Also, N_2 does not have any element α such that $(a_1)(a_2) \subseteq \alpha$, for some distinct $a_1, a_2 \in \{1, \ldots, 10\}$. Hence, there exists an integer n_c such that $c^{n_c} \in \{\overline{\theta}, (1), \ldots, (10)\}$. Suppose that $c^{n_c} = (v)$ and $[v', v, v''] \sqsubseteq c$ for some integers $v, v', v'' \in \{1, \ldots, 10\}$. Again, as in N_2 no element has a nontrivial cycle, $v = v' = v''$. Then $(v) \subseteq c$ and, thus, $c^n = (v)$ for every integer $n \geq n_c$. Let $n \geq n_c$. If $\lambda_{n+2}(a, b, 1, 1, c, \ldots, c^n)$ and $\rho_{n+2}(a, b, 1, 1, c, \ldots, c^n)$ are nonzero, then there exist integers $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \ldots, 10\}$ such that $[i_1, v, i_2] \sqsubseteq \lambda_{n+1}$ and $[j_1, v, j_2] \sqsubseteq \rho_{n+1}$. Now, if $\lambda_{n+3}(a, b, 1, 1, c, \ldots, c^{n+1})$ and $\rho_{n+3}(a, b, 1, 1, c, \ldots, c^{n+1})$ are nonzero, then $i_1 = i_2 = j_1 = j_2 = v$. It follows that $\lambda_{n+3} = \rho_{n+3} = (v)$, whence N_2 is in PE.

Now, let $x = (4, 1, \theta), y = (2, 3, \theta), w_1 = (1, 2, \theta)(3, 4, \theta)(10, 7, 6, \theta), w_2 = (1, 4, \theta)(3, 2, \theta)(6, 8, \theta)(9, 10, \theta)$. Since

$$\lambda_k(x, y, w_1, w_2, \ldots) \neq \rho_k(x, y, w_1, w_2, \ldots)$$

for every $1 \leq k$, N_2 is not nilpotent. Now, as the semigroup N_2 is two-generated, we have $N_2 \in \mathsf{PE} \setminus \mathsf{EUNNG}$. In fact the semigroup N_2 is in NT. [5]

The following diagrams represent the strict inclusion relationships between these pseudovarieties.



We have $F_{12} \in \mathsf{EUNNG} \setminus \mathsf{NDF_{12}}$ and $N_2 \in \mathsf{NT} \setminus \mathsf{EUNNG}$.

Also, the class $(\mathsf{EUNNG} \cap \mathsf{NDF_{12}}) \setminus \mathsf{NT}$ is not empty. For example, the subsemigroup N_3 of the full transformation semigroup on the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \cup \{\theta\}$ given by the union of the completely 0-simple semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 3, 3; I_3)$ and the semigroup $\langle w, v, q \rangle$,

$$N_3 = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 4, 4; I_4) \cup \{w, v, q\}, \tag{8}$$

[4] In order to determine the properties of this example, we used the software Gap [9].

[5] In order to check this, we used the software developed in C++.

where $w = (2,3,\theta)(1,4,\theta), v = (1,3,\theta)(2,4,\theta)$ and $q = (2,1,\theta)(4,3,\theta)$. It is proved in [13] that $N_3 \in \mathsf{EUNNG} \setminus \mathsf{NT}$. Also, N_3 is NDF_{12}.[6]

The pseudovariety generated by finite inverse semigroups is precisely the pseudovariety of finite semigroups whose idempotents commute [4]. We denote the class of all finite inverse semigroups by Inv and the pseudovariety of finite aperiodic semigroups by A. Higgins and Margolis [10] showed that the pseudovariety generated by the finite aperiodic inverse semigroups is strictly contained in the pseudovariety of all aperiodic semigroups with commuting idempotents ($\langle \mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{Inv} \rangle \subset \mathsf{A} \cap \langle \mathsf{Inv} \rangle$). In fact, they showed that $N_1 \in \mathsf{A} \cap \langle \mathsf{Inv} \rangle \setminus \langle \mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{Inv} \rangle$.

We claim that the class $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{MN} \setminus [\![x^\omega y^\omega = y^\omega x^\omega]\!]$ is not empty (and, thus, $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{MN} \setminus \langle \mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{Inv} \rangle \neq \varnothing$). Let

$$N_4 = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{12}, \theta\} \tag{9}$$

be a semigroup where θ is a zero, $N = \{a_5, \ldots, a_{12}\} \cup \{\theta\}$ is a null ideal of N_4 and $N_4/N = \{a_1, \ldots, a_4\} \cup \{\theta\} \cong \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, 2, 2; I_2)$ is a completely 0-simple semigroup such that the following relations are satisfied (we write the elements of $N_4 \setminus N$ as (a,b) for $a, b \in \{1,2\}$):

$$(1,1)(2,1) = a_5,\ (1,1)(2,2) = a_6,\ (1,2)(1,1) = a_7,\ (1,2)(1,2) = a_8,$$
$$(2,1)(2,1) = a_9,\ (2,1)(2,2) = a_{10},\ (2,2)(1,1) = a_{11},\ (2,2)(1,2) = a_{12}$$

and $(\alpha,\beta)(\alpha',\beta')(\alpha'',\beta'') = \theta$ if and only if $\beta \neq \alpha', \beta' \neq \alpha''$ for $\alpha, \alpha', \alpha'', \beta, \beta', \beta'' \in \{1,2\}$.[7]

Since $(1,1)$ and $(2,2)$ are idempotents and $(1,1)(2,2) \neq (2,2)(1,1)$, we obtain $N_4 \notin [\![x^\omega y^\omega = y^\omega x^\omega]\!]$. Also, it is easy to verify that N_4 is nilpotent. Therefore, $N_4 \in \mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{MN} \setminus [\![x^\omega y^\omega = y^\omega x^\omega]\!]$.

Theorem 8.1. *The following diagram represents all relations of equality and strict containment between the pseudovarieties represented in it:*



[6] In order to check this, we used the software developed in C++.

[7] In order to check the associativity law for this example, we used software developed in C++ by the second author.

Proof. Suppose that $T \in (\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{Inv}) \setminus (\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{MN})$. Since T is finite, T has a principal series

$$T = T_1 \supset T_2 \supset \ldots \supset T_t \supset T_{t+1} = \varnothing.$$

That is, each T_i is an ideal of T and there is no ideal of T strictly between T_i and T_{i+1}. Each principal factor $T_i/T_{i+1} (1 \leq i \leq t)$ of T is either aperiodic completely 0-simple, aperiodic completely simple or null.

Since $T \notin \mathsf{MN}$, by Lemma 2.1 there exist a positive integer h, distinct elements $t_1, t_2 \in T$ and elements $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_h \in T^1$ such that

$$t_1 = \lambda_h(t_1, t_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_h) \text{ and } t_2 = \rho_h(t_1, t_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_h).$$

Suppose that $t_1 \in T_i \setminus T_{i+1}$, for some $1 \leq i \leq t$. Because T_i and T_{i+1} are ideals of T, the above equalities imply that $t_2 \in T_i \setminus T_{i+1}$ and $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_h \in T^1 \setminus T_{i+1}$. Furthermore, one obtains that T_i/T_{i+1} is an aperiodic completely 0-simple semigroup, say $\mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, n, m; P)$, or an aperiodic completely simple semigroup, say $\mathcal{M}(\{1\}, n, m; P)$.

If $n = m = 1$, then $T_i \setminus T_{i+1}$ is a trivial group, a contradiction with the assumption that t_1 and t_2 are distinct.

Since T_i/T_{i+1} is an aperiodic completely simple inverse semigroup, it is easy to show that it is of the form $T_i/T_{i+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(\{1\}, n, n; I_n)$ for some n.

Since $t_1, t_2 \in M$, there exist $1 \leq n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \leq n$ such that $t_1 = (1; n_1, n_2)$, $t_2 = (1; n_3, n_4)$. Note that,

$$[n_2, n_3; n_4, n_1] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(w_1), [n_2, n_1; n_4, n_3] \sqsubseteq \Gamma(w_2)$$

where Γ is an $\mathcal{L}$-minimal non-nilpotent representation of T/T_{i+1}. Since $t_1 \neq t_2$ and $\Gamma(w_1)$ restricted to $\{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \Gamma(w_1)^{-1}(\theta)$ is injective, we obtain $n_1 \neq n_3$. It follows that the cycle (n_1, n_3) is contained in $\Gamma(w_2^{-1} w_1)$. This contradicts the assumption that T is aperiodic. Hence, $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{Inv}$ is contained in MN. The semigroup N_4 is in the subset $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{MN} \setminus \langle \mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{Inv} \rangle$. Therefore, $\langle \mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{Inv} \rangle$ is strictly contained in $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{MN}$.

A finite aperiodic semigroup S is in TM (respectively PE) if and only if the principal factors of S are either null semigroups or inverse semigroups [12, Corollary 10 and 8]. Therefore, we have $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{PE} = \mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{TM} = \mathsf{A} \cap \langle \mathsf{Inv} \rangle$.

The semigroup N_1 is aperiodic and in EUNNG, but it is not in the MN [13]. Also, the semigroup N_2 is aperiodic and in PE, but it is not in EUNNG. Hence, $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{MN}$ is strictly contained in $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{EUNNG}$ and $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{EUNNG}$ is strictly contained in $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{PE}$.

Also, the semigroup N_1 is aperiodic and in NT[8] but it is not in MN, the semigroup N_3 is aperiodic and in NDF_{12} but it is not in NT, and the semigroup F_{12} is aperiodic and in PE but is not in NDF_{12}. Hence, $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{MN}$ is strictly contained in $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{NT}$, $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{NT}$ is strictly contained in $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{NDF}_{12}$, and $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{NDF}_{12}$ is strictly contained in $\mathsf{A} \cap \mathsf{PE}$. □

[8]In order to check that $N_1 \in \mathsf{NT}$, we used software developed in C++ by the second author.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported, in part, by CMUP (UID/MAT/00144/2013), which is funded by FCT (Portugal) with national (MEC) and European structural funds through the programs FEDER, under the partnership agreement PT2020. The work of the second author was also partly supported by the FCT post-doctoral scholarship SFRH/BPD/89812/2012.

References

[1] J. Almeida. *Finite semigroups and universal algebra*, volume 3 of *Series in Algebra*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1994. Translated from the 1992 Portuguese original and revised by the author.

[2] J. Almeida. Dynamics of finite semigroups. In *Semigroups, algorithms, automata and languages (Coimbra, 2001)*, pages 269–292. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2002.

[3] J. Almeida. Profinite semigroups and applications. In *Structural theory of automata, semigroups, and universal algebra*, volume 207 of *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.*, pages 1–45. Springer, Dordrecht, 2005. Notes taken by Alfredo Costa.

[4] C. J. Ash. Finite semigroups with commuting idempotents. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 43(1):81–90, 1987.

[5] M. Boffa and F. Point. Identités de Thue-Morse dans les groupes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 312(9):667–670, 1991.

[6] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston. *The algebraic theory of semigroups. Vol. I*. Mathematical Surveys, No. 7. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961.

[7] S. Eilenberg and M. P. Schützenberger. On pseudovarieties. *Advances in Math.*, 19(3):413–418, 1976.

[8] I. Fabrici. $\mathcal{J}$-classes in the direct product of two semigroups. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 44(119)(2):325–335, 1994.

[9] GAP-Groups. The gap group, algorithms and programming, version 4.7.7, available at www.gap-system.org. 2015.

[10] P. M. Higgins and S. Margolis. Finite aperiodic semigroups with commuting idempotents and generalizations. *Israel J. Math.*, 116:367–380, 2000.

[11] E. Jespers and J. Okniński. Nilpotent semigroups and semigroup algebras. *J. Algebra*, 169(3):984–1011, 1994.

[12] E. Jespers and D. Riley. Nilpotent linear semigroups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 16(1):141–160, 2006.

[13] E. Jespers and M. H. Shahzamanian. The non-nilpotent graph of a semigroup. *Semigroup Forum*, 85(1):37–57, 2012.

[14] E. Jespers and M. H. Shahzamanian. Finite semigroups that are minimal for not being Malcev nilpotent. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 13(8):1450063, 22, 2014.

[15] A. I. Mal′cev. Nilpotent semigroups. *Ivanov. Gos. Ped. Inst. Uč. Zap. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki*, 4:107–111, 1953.

[16] B. H. Neumann and T. Taylor. Subsemigroups of nilpotent groups. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A*, 274:1–4, 1963.

[17] J. Reiterman. The Birkhoff theorem for finite algebras. *Algebra Universalis*, 14(1):1–10, 1982.

[18] M.H. Shahzamanian. The congruence η^* on semigroups. (submitted).

[19] A. I. Širšov. On certain near-Engel groups. *Algebra i Logika Sem.*, 2(5):5–18, 1963.

J. Almeida and M.H. Shahzamanian, Centro de Matemática e Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

E-mail address: jalmeida@fc.up.pt; m.h.shahzamanian@fc.up.pt